

# Agronomic Effectiveness of Bamboo Biochar on Corn Cultivation in Dryland

*by* Yohanes Parlindungan Situmeang

---

**Submission date:** 05-Aug-2020 01:46PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1366131254

**File name:** 4.\_Artikel\_JBCR\_Biochar\_on\_Corn\_34\_2\_704-712\_2017.pdf (913.05K)

**Word count:** 4648

**Character count:** 22971

# **Agronomic Effectiveness of Bamboo Biochar on Corn Cultivation in Dryland**

By

Yohanes Parlindungan Situmeang

ISSN 2319-3077 Online/Electronic

ISSN 0970-4973 Print

UGC Approved Journal No. 62923

MCI Validated Journal

Index Copernicus International Value

IC Value of Journal 82.43 Poland, Europe (2016)

Journal Impact Factor: 4.275

Global Impact factor of Journal: 0.876

Scientific Journals Impact Factor: 3.285

InfoBase Impact Factor: 3.66

J. Biol. Chem. Research


Volume 34 (2) 2017 Pages No. 704-712

## **Journal of Biological and Chemical Research**

An International Peer Reviewed / Referred Journal of Life Sciences and Chemistry

**Indexed, Abstracted and Cited in various International and  
National Scientific Databases**

**Published by Society for Advancement of Sciences®**



**J. Biol. Chem. Research. Vol. 34, No. 2: 704-712, 2017**

(An International Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal of Life Sciences and Chemistry)

Ms 34/02/805/2017

All rights reserved

**ISSN 2319-3077 (Online/Electronic)****ISSN 0970-4973 (Print)**

Yohanes P. Situmeang

[http:// www.sasjournals.com](http://www.sasjournals.com)[http:// www.jbcr.co.in](http://www.jbcr.co.in)[jbiolchemres@gmail.com](mailto:jbiolchemres@gmail.com)

RESEARCH PAPER

Received: 11/11/2017

Revised: 26/11/2017

Accepted: 27/11/2017

## Agronomic Effectiveness of Bamboo Biochar on Corn Cultivation in Dryland

Yohanes Parlindungan Situmeang

Agriculture Faculty, Warmadewa University, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia

### ABSTRACT

*This study aims to determine the biochar agronomic effectiveness combined with compost and phonska in increasing corn yield in dryland. This study used a randomized block design with two-factor factorial pattern and 3 replications. The first factor was the treatment of biochar dosage consisting of 4 levels, namely: without biochar, 5.26 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 15.78 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The second factor is the type of fertilizer with four types, namely: without fertilizer, compost, phonska, and compost+phonska. The results showed that the dosage of biochar 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> combined with compost and phonska gave the highest yield of dry corn seeds per ha of 13.71 tons, which increased by 106.67% when compared with treatment without biochar, compost, and phonska. The combination of biochar 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with compost and phonska gave the highest value of Relative Agronomics Effectiveness (RAE) of 113.99% which is highly effective for corn cultivation in dryland.*

*Keywords: Charcoal, Manure, Phonska Fertilizer and Maize.*

### INTRODUCTION

Biochar is a carbon-rich material produced by pyrolysis through incomplete combustion process of agricultural biomass. Biochar can be used as a soil enhancer to bind carbon, producing good fibers and highly porous charcoal that can help the soil to retain nutrients and water (IBI, 2012). Biochar has the ability to hold and absorb water and nutrients in the soil. The addition of biochar to the soil can increase the availability of phosphorus, N-total, cation exchange capacity, and reduce the risk of nutrient leaching especially potassium and N-NH<sub>4</sub> in the soil (Bambang, 2012). Biochar is more effective in holding back nutrients for its availability to crops than any other organic matter. Giving biochar to the soil can increase N, P, CEC, pH, and can keep the C and N balance in the soil for long periods of time (Gani, 2009).

The effectiveness of biochar on soil quality and crop yield depends on the source, type, and quantity of raw materials used. The results showed that biochar dosage of 4-8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> increased crop productivity by 20-220%, depending on the cultivated commodity (Gani, 2009). Rostaliana, *et al.* (2012), states that the utilization of biochar 12 t ha<sup>-1</sup> has a significant effect on the weight of volume and available K, and the height of the maize plant. The research of Situmeang and Sudewa (2013) showed that bamboo biochar dosage of 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> had a significant effect on plant height, the total wet

weight of the plant, and total oven dry weight of corn plant. Utilization of biochar dosage 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and phonska NPK 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as well as cow dung compost 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> can increase the growth and yield of hybrid Bisi-2 (Situmeang *et al.*, 2015). Situmeang *et al.* (2016) reported that the dosage of biochar 5-10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> gave the best fresh weight of the cob and the compost dosage of 7.50-15.00 t ha<sup>-1</sup> gave the best results on plant height, fresh weight of cobs, and fresh weight of corn stover. Furthermore, Situmeang (2017) reported that the highest yield of the corn plant in dryland was obtained at an optimum biochar dosage 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, compost 20.22 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, and phonska 313.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Utilization of a balance in the use of biochar with compost and phonska fertilizer can improve efficiency, reduce the use of synthetic fertilizers, and improve crop yields of corn. Research on the benefits of biochar for soil quality improvement on dry land with a variety of plant commodities, especially corn crops is still very necessary. Improving soil quality in dry land is very important in the future to address various agricultural problems in dryland. Optimizing the utilization of dry land to increase production of corn needs to be done through the improvement of agricultural cultivation and make effective use of biochar. This study aims to determine the effectiveness of biochar combined with compost and phonska in increasing crop yields of corn in dryland. The proposed hypothesis is biochar 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> combined with compost and phonska can increase yield corn in dryland.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Location, Time, and Research Materials

This research was conducted in Sulahan Village, District of Susut, Bangli Regency of Bali Province with height 762 m above sea level. The research was carried out from January to May 2016. The materials used in this research were biochar made from bamboo waste, cow manure compost, Bisi-2 hybrid corn seed, NPK phonska (15-15-15), urea, and insecticide.

### Research Design

This research was conducted based on the optimum dosage of biochar (10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), compost (20.22 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), and phonska (313.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) obtained from Situmeang research (2017). This research uses randomized block design (RBD) with a two-factor factorial pattern. The first factor is the biochar dosage (D), which consists of 4 levels, namely: D<sub>0</sub> = 0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (without biochar), D<sub>1</sub> = 5.26 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, D<sub>2</sub> = 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, D<sub>3</sub> = 15.78 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The second factor is the fertilizers types (P) which consists of four types, namely: P<sub>0</sub> = without fertilizer, P<sub>1</sub> = Compost, P<sub>2</sub> = Phonska, and P<sub>3</sub> = Compost+Phonska. Each treatment was repeated 3 times to obtain 48 units of the experiment.

### Variable Observed

1. Plant height (cm). The measurement of plant height was started from the plant three weeks after planting. Subsequent observations were made weekly until the plant reached its maximum height (63 days after planting). Measurements were made by measuring plant canopy from the ground to the highest leaf tip.
2. A number of leaves per plant (strands). Observation on the number of leaves performed simultaneously at the time of measurement of plant height. The calculated leaves are leaves fully opened and still green. Observations are made once every week until the plants reach the maximum number of leaves.
3. The total oven-dry weight of plant (g). The total oven-dry weight of the plant is obtained by adding dry oven weight, root oven-dry weight, and dry weight of seed oven per plant.
4. Dry weight harvest seeds per ha (ton). Measurement of dry seed weight at harvest is done by converting seed weight per tile to a hectare. The equations used are: *Dry weight harvest seeds per ha (ton)* =  $(10^4 \text{ m}^2 \times \text{dry seed harvesting weight (g)} \times 10^6 \text{ g}) / (1.125 \text{ m}^2 \times 10^6 \text{ g})$ .

### Relative Agronomics Effectiveness

Relative Agronomics Effectiveness (RAE) is the ratio between the increase in yields due to the use of a fertilizer with an increase in yield with the use of 100 standard multiplied fertilizers (Machay *et al.*, 1984), with the following calculations:  $RAE (\%) = (Result\ on\ tested\ fertilizer - Result\ on\ control) \times 100 / (Result\ on\ standard\ fertilizer - Result\ on\ control)$ .

### Scanning Electron Microscope Analysis

Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) analysis to determine the morphology and surface microstructure of the observed sample (bamboo biochar, untreated soil, and soil after treatment). SEM analysis was conducted at the Metallurgical Laboratory of Mechanical Engineering Faculty of Engineering Udayana University.

### Data Analysis Research

Variant analysis (ANOVA) was used to determine the effect of biochar combination with compost and phonska on the measured variable. The least significant difference test (LSD) and Duncans at the 5% level were used to determine the difference in the mean value of each variable. Data processing result of research done by using program of Microsoft Excel and Minitab 14.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Effect of Biochar and Fertilizer Type on Growth and Yield of Maize Plant

The significance of the effect of biochar dosage and the type of fertilizer and its interaction on growth and crop yield can be seen in Table 1.

Based on Table 1 it can be seen that biochar interaction and fertilizer type (DP) have no significant effect ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) on plant height and number of leaves. The interaction of D x P also had a very significant effect ( $P < 0.01$ ) on total oven dry weight and significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) on the dry weight of corn harvest per ha. Treatment of biochar dosage (D) and type of fertilizer (P) had a significant effect ( $P < 0.01$ ) on all observed variables.

**Table 1. Significance of the effect of biochar dosage (D), type of fertilizer (P) and its interaction (DP) to growth and corn yield.**

| No | Variable                                   | D  | P  | D x P |
|----|--|----|----|-------|
| 1  | Plant height (cm)                          | ** | ** | ns    |
| 2  | Number of leaves (strands)                 | ** | ** | ns    |
| 3  | Dry weight of total oven per plant (g)     | ** | ** | **    |
| 4  | Dry harvesting weight of seed per ha (ton) | ** | ** | *     |

\* = significant ( $P < 0.05$ ); \*\* = highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ); ns = not significant ( $P \geq 0.05$ )

### Plant Height

Statistical analysis showed that the interaction between dosages of biochar with fertilizers (DXP) do not affect significantly ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) to the maximum plant height, while the dosage of biochar (D) and the treatment of types of fertilizers (P) was highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) on plant height (Table 1). The maximum plant height on biochar dosage treatment and the type of fertilizer is presented in Table 2. Based on Table 2 it can be explained that the highest plant height obtained at the treatment biochar dosage  $10.52\ t\ ha^{-1}$  ( $D_2$ ) is 308.88 cm which is significantly different or increased by 6.58% compared to the lowest value of plant height and obtained at the treatment without biochar ( $D_0$ ) 289.80 cm. Treatment types of compost+phonska ( $P_3$ ) provides the highest value of 310.81 cm plant height were significantly different or the increase of 10.55% when compared to the lowest value of 281.15 cm at the treatment without fertilizers ( $P_0$ ).



Table 2. Average plant height and number of leaves on biochar dosage and fertilizer type.

| Treatment                                  | Plant height (cm) | Number of leaves (Strands) |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Dosage of Biochar</b>                   |                   |                            |
| 0 t ha <sup>-1</sup> (D <sub>0</sub> )     | 289.80 b          | 15.74 b                    |
| 5.26 t ha <sup>-1</sup> (D <sub>1</sub> )  | 295.15 b          | 16.08 a                    |
| 10.52 t ha <sup>-1</sup> (D <sub>2</sub> ) | 308.88 a          | 16.22 a                    |
| 15.78 t ha <sup>-1</sup> (D <sub>3</sub> ) | 306.86 a          | 16.14 a                    |
| LSD 5%                                     | 9.09              | 0.25                       |
| <b>Type of fertilizer</b>                  |                   |                            |
| Without fertilizer (P <sub>0</sub> )       | 281.15 c          | 15.49 d                    |
| Compost (P <sub>1</sub> )                  | 298.80 b          | 15.86 c                    |
| Phonska (P <sub>2</sub> )                  | 309.92 a          | 16.28 b                    |
| Compost+Phonska (P <sub>3</sub> )          | 310.81 a          | 16.56 a                    |
| LSD 5%                                     | 9.09              | 0.25                       |

Note: The numbers followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different in the 5% LSD test

### Number of Leaves

The result of statistical analysis of an interaction between dosage of biochar and fertilizer type (DP) was not significant ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) to maximum number of leaves, while treatment of biochar dosage (D) and treatment of fertilizer type (P) had significant effect ( $P < 0.01$ ) to the maximum number of leaves. The mean maximum number of leaves at the biochar dosage treatment and the type of fertilizer are presented in Table 2. From Table 2 it can be seen that the highest leaf number obtained in the treatment of biochar dosage 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (D<sub>2</sub>) is 16.22 strands that significantly different or increased by 3.09% when compared with the lowest value of leaf number obtained at the treatment without biochar (D<sub>0</sub>) is 15.74 strands. The compost + phonska (P<sub>3</sub>) compost treatment gave the highest value of the leaf number of 16.56 strands that was significantly different or increased by 6.91% compared the lowest value of the leaf number of 15.49 strands in the fertilizer treatment (P<sub>0</sub>) (Table 2).

### Total Oven-Dry Weight of Plant

The result of statistic analysis on the total oven-dry weight of the plant was found that the interaction biochar dosage with fertilizer type (DP), biochar dosage (D), and fertilizer (P) were very significant ( $P < 0.01$ ). The average oven-dry weight of plant on the interaction biochar dosage with fertilizer type is presented in Table 3. Based on Table 3 it can be explained that the highest total oven-dry weight of plant was obtained at interaction biochar dosage 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with compost+phonska (D<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>) of 485.36 g which was significantly different and increased by 110.53% when compared with the lowest yield of total oven-dry weight of the plant on interaction without biochar and fertilizer (D<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>) of 230.55 g.

Table 3. Average total oven-dry weight of plant on interaction biochar dosage with fertilizer type.

| Treatment                                  | Type of Fertilizer                   |                           |                           |                                   |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|  | Without Fertilizer (P <sub>0</sub> ) | Compost (P <sub>1</sub> ) | Phonska (P <sub>2</sub> ) | Compost+Phonska (P <sub>3</sub> ) |
| <b>Dosage of Biochar</b>                   | ----- g tan <sup>-1</sup> -----      |                           |                           |                                   |
| 0 t ha <sup>-1</sup> (D <sub>0</sub> )     | 230.55 g                             | 300.95 fg                 | 327.26 def                | 376.67 bcde                       |
| 5.26 t ha <sup>-1</sup> (D <sub>1</sub> )  | 284.12 fg                            | 322.68 ef                 | 377.14 bcd                | 405.14 b                          |
| 10.52 t ha <sup>-1</sup> (D <sub>2</sub> ) | 337.03 cdef                          | 366.13 bcde               | 404.27 b                  | 485.36 a                          |
| 15.78 t ha <sup>-1</sup> (D <sub>3</sub> ) | 332.45 def                           | 385.33 bc                 | 374.60 bcde               | 357.64 bcde                       |

Note: The numbers followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different in Duncan's 5% test.

**Dry Weight Harvest Seeds per Hectare**

Result of statistical analysis to yield of dry seed harvest per ha found that interaction between dosage of biochar with type of fertilizer (DP) have real effect ( $P < 0.05$ ), while treatment of biochar dosage (D) and treatment of fertilizer type (P) ( $P < 0.01$ ) to yield of dry harvested seeds per ha. The average yield of dry weight harvested seeds per ha on the interaction between biochar dosage and the type of fertilizer is presented in Table 4.

Table 4 shows that the highest yield of harvested seeds per hectare was obtained at interaction between biochar dosage  $10.52 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  with compost and phonska ( $D_2P_3$ ) of 13,71 ton which increased by 106,67% with the lowest yield of seed yield per hectare in treatment without biochar and fertilizer ( $D_0P_0$ ) weighing 6.63 tons, or increased by 42.83% when compared to the interaction between biochar dosage of  $10.52 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  with no fertilizer ( $D_2P_0$ ) by 9.60 tons, or also increased by 33.91% when compared to the interaction between without biochar with compost and phonska ( $D_0P_3$ ) of 10.24 tons.

**Table 4. Average yield of dry seed harvest on interaction biochar dosage with the type of fertilizer.**

| Treatment                           | Type of Fertilizer           |                   |                   |                           |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
|                                     | Without Fertilizer ( $P_0$ ) | Compost ( $P_1$ ) | Phonska ( $P_2$ ) | Compost+Phonska ( $P_3$ ) |
| <b>Dosage of Biochar</b>            | ----- ton -----              |                   |                   |                           |
| $0 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ( $D_0$ )     | 6.63g                        | 8.77 ef           | 9.53 cde          | 10.24 bcde                |
| $5.26 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ( $D_1$ )  | 8.02 fg                      | 9.10 def          | 10.69 bcd         | 11.20 bc                  |
| $10.52 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ( $D_2$ ) | 9.60 bcde                    | 10.63 bcd         | 11.30 b           | 13.71 a                   |
| $15.78 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ( $D_3$ ) | 9.27 de                      | 10.36 bcde        | 9.90 bcde         | 9.46 cde                  |

Note: The numbers followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different in Duncan's 5% test.

The high yield of harvested maize on the interaction biochar dosage  $10.52 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  with compost and phonska ( $D_2P_3$ ) was caused by the improvement of soil properties on dry land due to biochar which was balanced with compost and phonska. This condition is characterized by the improved physical properties of the soil ie decreasing the weight of volume, increasing porosity, and soil moisture content. The improved physical properties of soil in  $D_2P_3$  is also supported by the results SEM of a 2000x magnification showing its surface morphology with better pore micro distribution (Figure 1). The biochar formulation of  $10.52 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  with compost+phonska ( $D_2P_3$ ) compost can increase soil aggregation from micro-aggregate to larger aggregate, increase soil water and nutrient capacity, increase soil pH, increase N-total, C-organic, P-available, K-available, cation exchange capacity, base saturation, and total microbes (Situmeang, 2017). Biochar can improve soil properties such as aggregation and soil water holding capacity, pH and CEC and increase soil biological population and activity (Chan *et al.*, 2007; Masulili *et al.*, 2010). Biochar can improve soil carbon capability, increase soil fertility, maintain soil ecosystem balance, and can also act as fertilizer and increase crop yields, provide and maintain nutrients in the soil (Major *et al.*, 2005; Steiner *et al.*, 2007).

**Agronomics Effectiveness of Biochar Combined with Compost and Phonska on Corn Crops**

Analysis RAE of biochar combined with compost and phonska on corn plant growth can be seen in Table 5. The RAE biochar value at plant height ranges from 27.88% -116.55%. The plant height maximum with RAE biochar value of  $5.26 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  was obtained at combination biochar with compost and phonska ( $D_1P_3$ ) of 116.55% and the lowest on biochar interaction  $10.52 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  with compost ( $D_2P_1$ ) of 27.88 %. Furthermore, the value of RAE biochar on the number of leaves ranged 44.44% -200.00%. RAE value at maximum leaf number was obtained on biochar  $5.26 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  with phonska ( $D_1P_2$ ) equal to 200.00% and lowest at biochar interaction  $15.78 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  with compost ( $D_3P_1$ ) equal to 44.44%.

Analysis of biochar RAE combined with compost and phonska on corn yield (dry weight of plant oven and dry weight of seeds corn) can be seen in Table 3. The value of RAE biochar on a total oven dry weight of plants ranged from 17.24% to 101.51%. The RAE on an oven-dry weight was obtained at 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> biochar with compost+phonska (D<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>) equal to 101.51% and lowest on biochar interaction 15.78 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with compost+phonska (D<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>) amounted to 17.24%. The value of RAE biochar on a dry weight of seeds ranged from 5.23% -113.99%. The value of RAE on a dry weight of seed harvest was obtained on biochar dosage 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with compost+phonska (D<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>) of 113.99% and lowest on biochar interaction 15.78 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with compost+phonska (D<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>) equal to 5.23%.

The high value of RAE D<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> on oven dry weight and total plant dry weight per ha grain harvest show that the agronomic effectiveness D<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> very good and effective (useful) when applied on dry land. This condition is also supported by the results of the analysis of up to 2000x magnification SEM (Figure 1), which is the visible arrangement of micropores with a larger surface area on the morphology D<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> treatment compared to untreated D<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>. The treatment surface of D<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> in SEM 2000x enlargement is seen with the arrangement of micro and macro cavity spans scattered with a relatively large number of the soil surface. This large pore cavity causes the soil to be more porous and friable. With this condition, the formulations biochar dosage 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with a type of compost+phonska (D<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>) into the soil to improve soil physical properties, through the retention of nutrients and water in soil pores. Soil quality improvement due to the provision of biochar, supported by the physical characteristics of biochar surface at 2000x magnification SEM obvious morphological biochar with a large surface area and micropore structure that spread over the surface of biochar (Situmeang, 2017). These porous biochar pores lead to improved aeration and drainage systems and increased soil ability to absorb ions and water in the soil. Biochar has the characteristics of a higher stability against decomposition and capable of absorbing ions and water better than other organic materials, due to the larger surface area, the negative surface, and the density (Liang *et al.*, 2006; Lehmann, 2007).

**Table 5. Relative Agronomic Effectiveness (RAE) analysis biochar combined with compost and phonska on plant height and number of leaves.**

| Treatment                     | Plant height |         | Number of leaves |         |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------|------------------|---------|
|                               | (cm)         | RAE (%) | (Strands)        | RAE (%) |
| D <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | 262.11       | -       | 15.17            | -       |
| D <sub>0</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 290.41       | -       | 15.67            | -       |
| D <sub>0</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 305.11       | -       | 15.89            | -       |
| D <sub>0</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 301.56       | -       | 16.22            | -       |
| D <sub>1</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | 268.17       | -       | 15.28            | -       |
| D <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 290.50       | 78.93   | 15.72            | 88.89   |
| D <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 307.78       | 92.12   | 16.72            | 200.00  |
| D <sub>1</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 314.14       | 116.55  | 16.61            | 126.32  |
| D <sub>2</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | 298.78       | -       | 15.72            | -       |
| D <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 306.67       | 27.88   | 16.06            | 66.67   |
| D <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 311.17       | 28.81   | 16.22            | 69.23   |
| D <sub>2</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 318.89       | 50.99   | 16.89            | 110.53  |
| D <sub>3</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | 295.56       | -       | 15.78            | -       |
| D <sub>3</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 307.61       | 42.61   | 16.00            | 44.44   |
| D <sub>3</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 315.61       | 46.64   | 16.28            | 69.23   |
| D <sub>3</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 308.67       | 33.24   | 16.50            | 68.42   |


Note: D<sub>0</sub> (without Biochar), D<sub>1</sub> (Biochar 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), D<sub>2</sub> (Biochar 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), D<sub>3</sub> (Biochar 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>0</sub> (without fertilizer), P<sub>1</sub> (Compost 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>2</sub> (Phonska 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and P<sub>3</sub> (Compost + Phonska).



Table 6. Relative Agronomic Effectiveness (RAE) analysis biochar combined with compost and phonska on dry weight of plant oven and dry weight of harvest seed.

| Treatment                     | Dry Weight Oven Total Plants |         | Dry Weight Seed Harvest |         |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
|                               | (g)                          | RAE (%) | (ton)                   | RAE (%) |
| D <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | 230.55                       | -       | 6.63                    | -       |
| D <sub>0</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 300.95                       | -       | 8.77                    | -       |
| D <sub>0</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 327.26                       | -       | 9.53                    | -       |
| D <sub>0</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 376.67                       | -       | 10.24                   | -       |
| D <sub>1</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | 284.12                       | -       | 8.02                    | -       |
| D <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 322.68                       | 54.76   | 9.10                    | 50.41   |
| D <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 377.14                       | 96.17   | 10.69                   | 92.06   |
| D <sub>1</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 405.14                       | 82.81   | 11.20                   | 88.28   |
| D <sub>2</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | 337.03                       | -       | 9.60                    | -       |
| D <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 366.13                       | 41.34   | 10.63                   | 48.00   |
| D <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 404.27                       | 69.52   | 11.30                   | 58.47   |
| D <sub>2</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 485.36                       | 101.51  | 13.71                   | 113.99  |
| D <sub>3</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | 332.45                       | -       | 9.27                    | -       |
| D <sub>3</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 385.33                       | 75.12   | 10.36                   | 50.66   |
| D <sub>3</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 374.60                       | 43.58   | 9.90                    | 21.69   |
| D <sub>3</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 357.64                       | 17.24   | 9.46                    | 5.23    |

Note: D<sub>0</sub> (without Biochar), D<sub>1</sub> (Biochar 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), D<sub>2</sub> (Biochar 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), D<sub>3</sub> (Biochar 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>0</sub> (without fertilizer), P<sub>1</sub> (Compost 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>2</sub> (Phonska 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and P<sub>3</sub> (Compost+Phonska).



Bamboo Biochar

D<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>D<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>Figure 1. SEM of bamboo biochar, D<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>, and D<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> at 2000x magnification

## CONCLUSIONS

The interaction of biochar dosage<sup>1</sup> with the type of fertilizer had no significant effect on the plant height and the number of leaves, very significant effect on the total oven-dry weight of plants, and significantly affect the dry weight of corn harvest per ha.

Treatment of biochar dosage and fertilizer type had the significant effect on all observed variables. The biochar dosage 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> combined with compost 20.22 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and phonska 313.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> gave the highest yield of dry corn seeds per ha of 13.71 tons, which increased by 106.67% when compared with without biochar, compost, and phonska.

The RAE value of a dry weight of seed harvest was obtained on biochar 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with compost+phonska of 113.99% and lowest on biochar interaction 15.78 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with compost+phonska of 5.23%.

The agronomics effectiveness of combined biochar dosage 10.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with compost 20.22 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and phonska 313.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> is very good and effective at the total oven-dry weight of the plant and the dry weight of corn harvest per hectare in dryland.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many thanks to DRPM-KEMENRISTEKDIKTI who has helped fund the research of doctoral dissertation in 2016. Thank you also to friends who have helped and support this research.

#### REFERENCES

- Bambang, S.A. (2012). Si Hitam Biochar yang Multiguna. *PT. Perkebunan Nusantara X (Persero)*, Surabaya.
- Chan, K. Y., Van Zwieten, B. L., Meszaros, I., Downie, D. and Joseph, S. (2007). Using poultry litter Biochars as soil amendments. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 46: 437- 444.
- Gani, A. (2009). Potensi Arang Hayati Biochar Sebagai Komponen Teknologi Perbaikan Produktivitas Lahan Pertanian. *Iptek Tanaman Pangan* Vol. 4 No.1: 33-48
- IBI, (2012). What is Biochar?. *International Biochar Initiative*. [www.Biochar-international.Org](http://www.Biochar-international.Org)
- Lehmann, J. (2007). A handful of carbon. *Nature*, 447: 143-144
- Liang, B., Lehmann, J., Solomon, D., Kinyangi, J., Grossman, J., O'Neill, B., Skjemstad, J.O., Thies, J.E., Luizao, F.J., Petersen, J. & Neves, E.G. (2006). Black carbon increase cation exchange capacity in soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 70:1719-1730
- Machay, A.D., Syers, J.K., & Gregg, P.E.H. (1984). The ability of chemical extraction procedures to assess the agronomic effectiveness of phosphate rock material. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 27:219-230.
- Major, J., Steiner, C., Dittomasso, A., Falcao, N.P., & Lehmann, J. (2005). Weed composition and cover after three years of soil fertility management in the central Brazilian Amazon: Compost, fertilizer, manure and charcoal applications. *Weed Biol Manag*, 5:69-76
- Masulili, A., Utomo, W.H., & Syekhfani (2010). Rice husk Biochar for rice based cropping system in acid soil 1. The characteristics of rice husk Biochar and its Influence on the properties of acid sulfate soils and rice growth in West Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Journal of Agriculture Science* 3: 25-33.
- Rostaliana, P. Prawito, P., & Turmudi, E. (2012). Pemanfaatan Biochar untuk perbaikan kualitas tanah dengan indikator tanaman jagung hibrida dan padi gogo pada sistem lahan tebang dan bakar. *Naturalis-Jurnal penelitian Sumberdaya Alam dan Lingkungan*. Univ. Bengkulu. Vol 1 No 3: 179-188.
- Situmeang, Y.P. & Sudewa, K.A. (2013). Respon Pertumbuhan Vegetatif Tanaman jagung pada Aplikasi Biochar Limbah Bambu. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional*. Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Warmadewa. Denpasar.
- Situmeang, Y. P., Adnyana, I. M., Subadiyasa, I. N. N., & Merit, I. N. (2015). Effect of Dosage Biochar Bamboo, Compost, and Phonska on Growth of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Dryland. *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, 5(6), 433-439.

- Situmeang, Y.P., Sudewa, K.A. Suarta, M., & Risa, A.A.S. (2016).** Biochar and Compost Effect on the Growth and Yield of Sweet Corn. *Jurnal Pertanian Gema Agro*, XVI (6): 16-19. Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Warmadewa. Denpasar.
- Situmeang, Y. P. (2017).** Utilization of Biochar, Compost, and Phonska in Improving Corn Results on Dry Land. *International Research Journal of Engineering IT and Scientific Research*, 3(3): 38-48.
- Steiner, C., Teixeira, W., Lehmann, J., Nehls, T., de Macdo, J., Blum, W., & Zech, W. (2007).** Long-term effects of manure, charcoal and mineral fertilization on crop production and fertility on a highly weathered Central Amazonian upland soil. *Plant and Soil* 291: 275-290. Springer Netherlands.

---

**Corresponding author: Yohanes Parlindungan Situmeang, Department of Agrotechnology, Agriculture Faculty, Warmadewa University, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia.**  
**Email: [ypsitumeang63@gmail.com](mailto:ypsitumeang63@gmail.com)**

# Agronomic Effectiveness of Bamboo Biochar on Corn Cultivation in Dryland

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

|                  |                  |              |                |
|------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 10%              | %                | 10%          | %              |
| SIMILARITY INDEX | INTERNET SOURCES | PUBLICATIONS | STUDENT PAPERS |

## PRIMARY SOURCES

|   |   |    |
|---|---|----|
| 1 | I B K Mahardika, I G M Arjana, E O Nango. "Application of lake sludge and natural phosphate on spinach plant cultivation ( L.) ", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019<br>Publication  | 3% |
| 2 | V. Girija Veni, Ch. Srinivasarao, K. Sammi Reddy, K.L. Sharma, Ashish Rai. "Soil health and climate change", Elsevier BV, 2020<br>Publication   | 2% |
| 3 | N. Nurhidayati, Masyhuri Machfudz, Indiyah Murwani. "Direct and residual effect of various vermicompost on soil nutrient and nutrient uptake dynamics and productivity of four mustard Pak-Coi (Brassica rapa L.) sequences in organic farming system", International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture, 2018<br>Publication | 1% |
| 4 | "Biochar Applications in Agriculture and Environment Management", Springer Science  | 1% |

5

Azinwi Tamfuh P, Kamga Pangop CR, Douanla Tapindje DG, Boukong A, Tabi FO, Cho-Ngwa F, Bitom D. "Effect of dolomite amendment of acid Andosols on the performance of two green beans varieties in the Cameroon Western Highlands", International Journal of Advanced Geosciences, 2019

Publication

---

1 %

6

"Advances in Integrated Soil Fertility Management in sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and Opportunities", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2007

Publication

---

1 %

7

I K Sunadra, A A N M Wirajaya, L Kartini, M S Yuliartini. " Setting of cash pruning time and giving rabbit urine to growth and results of plant melon ( L.) ", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019

Publication

---

1 %

8

"Agroforestry Systems in India: Livelihood Security & Ecosystem Services", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014

Publication

---

1 %



---

Exclude quotes      On

Exclude bibliography      On

Exclude matches      < 1%

# Agronomic Effectiveness of Bamboo Biochar on Corn Cultivation in Dryland

## GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10