

- Submissions
- Issues
- Announcements
- Statistics
- Articles
- Editorial Activity
- Users
- Reports
- OJT Control Panel

Workflow **Publication**

**Submission** **Review** **Copyediting** **Production** [Help](#)

**Production Ready Files** [Search](#) [Upload File](#) [Schedule For Publication](#)

No Files

**Production Discussions** [Add discussion](#)

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
<a href="#">Proofreading</a>	—	-	0	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2022-03-31 04:56 PM			

**Participants** [Assign](#)

**Journal editor**

- ▶ Prawitra Thalib

**Author**

- ▶ I Nyoman Sukandia



Volume 35 No 3, September 2020

DOI: 10.20473/ydk.v35i3.21654

Fakultas Hukum Universitas Airlangga, Jalan Dharmawangsa Dalam Selatan Surabaya, 60286 Indonesia, +6231-5023151/5023252

Fax +6231-5020454, E-mail: yuridika@fh.unair.ac.id

Yuridika (ISSN: 0215-840X | e-ISSN: 2528-3103)

by <http://e-journal.unair.ac.id/index.php/YDK/index> under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

FAKULTAS HUKUM UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA

Article history: Submitted 11 March 2020; Accepted 12 July 2020; Available Online 1 September 2020.

## Penal Mediation in the Criminal Law as a Shift in Social Contract Theory

I Nyoman Sukandia, I Nyoman Putu Budiarta and Ketut Adi Wirawan

nyomansukandi@gmail.com

Universitas Warmadewa

### Abstract

Social contract theory is the theory that illustrates the origin of a state formation. The theory of social contracts is composed of several instruments, including natural human rights, morals and mutual agreement (common will). Humans (individuals) surrender their rights to the ruler (state). The rights that are handed over to the state include rights in the field of public law (public interest). One of the rights in the field of public law is the right to establish norms in criminal law (*ius poenale*) and the right to convict (*ius puniendi*). Through the existence of penal mediation in Indonesia, the state's right to convict offenders is reduced. It also means that the rights handed over by individuals to the authorities (state) in social contracts are reduced. Its theoretical implication is that the right of the state to impose criminal sanctions on offenders who are based on the surrender of individual rights to social contracts, begins to be purified again with the settlement between individuals through penal mediation on violations of public (criminal) law that take place.

**Keywords:** Penal Mediation; Shift; Social Contract.

### Introduction

The term 'a state' is often equated with the term "*lo stato*" (Italia), "*the state*" (English), "*der staat*" (Jerman) dan "*de staat*" (Dutch). Negara can be defined as a system of duties or functions of public and regular apparatus in certain territory (regions).<sup>1</sup> Dewa Atmadja stated that a state encompasses a system of function and all the state institutions with its people within certain territory.<sup>2</sup> Still on state terms, Pringgodigdo in Kansil argues that the state is a power organization or authority

<sup>1</sup> C.S.T. Kansil and Christine Kansil, *Ilmu Negara: Umum Dan Indonesia* (Karya Grafis Digital 2004).[9].

<sup>2</sup> I Dewa Gede Atmadja, *Ilmu Negara: Sejarah, Konsep Dan Kajian Kenegaraan* (Setara Press 2012).[19].

organization that must fulfill the requirements of certain elements, namely there must be: sovereign government, certain regions and people who live regularly so that it is a nation.<sup>3</sup> The three elements that make up the country are interrelated with one another. The relationship between the people and the sovereign government in a country is then discussed more in the theory of social contract. The discussion refers to the aspect where the theory of social contract becomes the theoretical validity of the role and rights of the state in the imposition of criminal sanctions against perpetrators of crimes in that country. Indonesia in the 1945 Constitution The third amendment confirms in article 1 paragraph (3), that Indonesia is a state of law. The rule of law is a core concept, providing guarantees that both public and private legal entities, including individuals, can only carry out actions if they are based on law.<sup>4</sup> The state exercises its rights in imposing criminal sanctions against violators of criminal law through state apparatus.

A criminal law, according to Eddy O.S. Hiariej, is a rule of law from a sovereign country, contains prohibited acts, accompanied by criminal sanctions for those who violate or who do not comply, when and in what cases the criminal sanctions are imposed and how the implementation of the criminal acts imposed by the state.<sup>5</sup> The criminal justice system is tasked with enforcing the law, aimed at tackling, preventing or allowing and reducing crime or criminal law violations. According to Bassiouni, quoted by Barda Nawawi Arif and quoted again by Faal that the objectives to be achieved by the criminal law or criminal justice system generally manifested in social interests, namely:<sup>6</sup>

1. Maintenance of an orderly society;
2. Protection of citizens from crime, loss or unjustified harm done by others;
3. Re-socialize (resosialisasi) lawbreakers;

---

<sup>3</sup> C.S.T. Kansil and Christine Kansil (n 1).

<sup>4</sup> Hari Sugiharto and Bagus Oktafian Abrianto, 'Perlindungan Hukum Non Yudisial Terhadap Perbuatan Hukum Publik' (2018) 33 *Yuridika* <<https://e-journal.unair.ac.id/YDK/article/view/7280>>.[45].

<sup>5</sup> Eddy O.S Hiariej, *Prinsip-Prinsip Hukum Pidana* (Cahaya Atma Pustaka 2014).[13].

<sup>6</sup> Anggita Anggraeni, 'Penal Mediation As Alternative Dispute Resolution: Acriminal Law Reformin Indonesia' (2020) 1 *Journal of Law and Legal Reform* <<https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/jllr/article/view/35409>>.[372].

4. Maintain or maintain the integrity of certain basic laws regarding social justice, human dignity and individual justice.

Criminal law enforcement in Indonesia's judicial system is a legal issue that is often highlighted by the public, largely due to the fact that law enforcement in Indonesia has yet to reflect a sense of justice and legal certainty. Law enforcers often act discriminately in conducting their official functions, which worsens the image of law enforcement that is viewed as cherry-picking.<sup>7</sup> Sutherland dan Cressey as quoted by Kanter and Sianturi stated "the criminal law in turn is defened conventionally as a body of specific rules regarding human conduct which have been promulgated by political authority which apply uniformly to all members of the classes to which the rules refer, and which are enforced by punishment administrated by the state".<sup>8</sup>

A similar notion was expressed by Hazewinkel-Suringa in Andi Zainal Abidin, that the term criminal law can be interpreted subjectively and objectively. The criminal law in the objective sense, which is also often called *poenale juice*, includes:

1. Orders and prohibitions, for which violations or their services have been sanctioned in advance by the competent state bodies, regulations that must be obeyed and heeded by everyone;
2. Provisions stipulating in what ways can a reaction be made against violations of those regulations; in other words penentiair law or sanction law; and
3. Rules that determine the scope of the enactment of these regulations at a time and in the territory of a particular country.

In addition, criminal law is also used in a subjective sense which is also commonly called *jus puniendi*, which is a legal regulation which stipulates the investigation, the follow-up investigation, prosecution, imposition and implementation of criminal law.<sup>9</sup>

The list of some views related to the notion of criminal law above contains the same view in positioning the role of the state in criminal law. The state is the only

---

<sup>7</sup> Hidayatullah, 'Legal Protection for Justice Collaborators in Indonesia's Criminal Judiciary System' (2020) 25 Yuridika <<https://e-journal.unair.ac.id/YDK/article/view/16879>>.[280].

<sup>8</sup> Kanter and Sianturi, *Asas-Asas Hukum Pidana* (Alumni AHM-PTHM 1982).[14].

<sup>9</sup> Andi Zainal Abidin, *Asas-Asas Hukum Pidana Bagian Pertama* (Penerbit Alumni 1987).[1].

organization of power/authority that has a full role in forming the rules of criminal law and imposing criminal sanctions on someone (a criminal offender). In line with Adam Cazawi as quoted by Eddy O.S. Hiariej, the state has the right to impose criminal sanctions because criminals have attacked and violated protected rights and legal interests.<sup>10</sup> The interests of law protected through criminal law are of common interest, so criminal law is dichotomized to become the scope of common law. Changes in society give rise to ideas in resolving a criminal offense without using criminal sanctions. The method of settlement in the theoretical level is known as the penal mediation method. Penal mediation method involves several parties such as perpetrators, victims, mediators and communities affected by criminal acts.

### **Correlation of Social Contract Theory with the Imposition of Criminal Sanctions by the State**

The concept of contract is the “union of the ideas of agreement and obligation.” Social contract theories seek to legitimate civil authority by appealing to notions of rational agreement. These diverse theories of morality, politics, and law posit actual or hypothetical circumstances of preregulated society, termed the “state of nature” in early modern social contract theories and the “original position” in John Rawls’s theory. Social contract theories provide that rational individuals will agree by contract, compact, or covenant to give up the condition of unregulated freedom in exchange for the security of a civil society governed by a just, binding rule of law.<sup>11</sup>

The concept or idea of the rule of law which controversially confronts the States of power (a State with the absolute government) is essentially the result of centuries of long debate from scholars and philosophers about the State and law, namely about the nature of its origin, purpose Country and so on. In particular the

---

<sup>10</sup> Eddy O.S Hiariej, *Asas Legalitas & Penemuan Hukum Dalam Hukum Pidana* (Erlangga 2009).[10].

<sup>11</sup> Anita L. Allen, ‘Social Contract Theory In American Case Law’ (1999) 51 Florida Law Review <[https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/faculty\\_scholarship/788/](https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/faculty_scholarship/788/)>.[1].

core problem is from which the State derives its power to take actions and obeyed by the people. In this case, two major theories can be noted, namely the theory of sovereignty and the theory of the origin of the State which produces the State pattern, namely the pattern of the State of power and the rule of law, several theories of social contracts, namely:<sup>12</sup>

1. God's social contract theory, in which considers God as the highest authority in the State. In practice, the sovereignty of God is incarnated in laws that must be obeyed by the head of the State or can also incarnate in the power of the king as the head of the State claiming the authority to establish laws in the name of God, advocates of this understanding include Augustine and Thomas Aquinas.<sup>13</sup>
2. The king's social contract theory assumes that it is the king who holds the highest sovereignty in a State<sup>14</sup> such a view especially arises after the period of the secularization of the State and law in Europe.
3. The theory of the social contract of the State, as a reaction to the arbitrariness of the king which appeared simultaneously with the emergence of the concept of the nation state in historical experience in Europe. Each kingdom in Europe broke free from the ties of the world state ruled by the king who once held power as the head of the church, the social contract theory among other countries spearheaded by Jean Bodin, Thomas Hobbes, Paul Laband, and Groge Jelinek.
4. The legal social contract theory which assumes that the State actually does not hold sovereignty. The highest source of power is law and every head of state must submit to the law.
5. People's social contract theory which believes that the real sovereign in each country is the people. The will of the people is the only source of power for every government.

Social contract theorists of the 17th and 18th centuries provide diverse accounts of human nature and the social processes that shape conflict, cooperation, and compliance. These ideas are applied to the challenges of contemporary public administration, specifically; the effort that often underlies both the search for public administration's identity and professionalization more generally: the effort to build consensus on shared values and ideals and ensure ethical practice with a minimum

---

<sup>12</sup> Indah Cahyani and Tatiek Sri Djatmiati, 'Demarcation BUMN: Between Private Laws and Public Laws' (2000) 35 Yuridika <<https://e-journal.unair.ac.id/YDK/article/view/16853>>.[144]. In Jimly Asshiddiqie, *Pokok-Pokok Hukum Tata Negara Indonesia Pasca Reformasi* (PTBuana Ilmu Populer 2008).[144].

<sup>13</sup> Theo Huijbers, *Filasafat Hukum Dalam Lintasan Sejarah* (Kanisius 1982).[37-44].

<sup>14</sup> Dwi Andayani Budisetyowati, *Hukum Otonomi Daerah Dalam Negara Kesatuan Republik Indonesia* (Roda Inti Media 2009).[15-16].

of external policing. A consideration of social contract theory yields a heavy dose of realism when it comes to this objective but invites neither despondency nor complacency.<sup>15</sup> Social contract theory is a thought that tries to explain the nature of the existence of the state and the state's power to punish someone. The adherents of this theory that are recorded are Thomas Hobbes, Jhon Locke, and Rousseau. Thomas Hobbes in his book "*De Cive*" and "*Leviathan*" put his views on humans. Humans are in the natural (naturalist) where each human being has a natural will that is to dominate and possess, so that the clash between human interests which scrambles for possession and control is very possible. The impact is that there is no sense of humanity. Hobbes uses the terms "solitary", "poor", "evil" and "brutal" to describe human nature. Humans are considered as wolves for other humans (*Homo Homini Lupus*). These expressions describe the state of human nature, in which competition and war always arise between one and all other humans. The worst result of this situation is death, so every human being is followed by fear. Humans then avoid fear by holding agreements between humans to give up all their rights to one person or organization.

In line with the overview of Ozan Örmeci Makaleler, Hobbes's thinking is as follows:

"... Hobbes concludes his theory by the realization that rational egoist human beings will profit more in an organized state, and thus, to make a social contract among them and give their power to a sole person who would be like a mortal God called "*Leviathan*" who would provide peace and order in society by making laws deriving from laws of nature and by punishing guilty people".<sup>16</sup>

This person or organization will have full and absolute power to regulate human life. Jhon Locke sees the same thing that humans were originally in the status of naturalists (natural states). In this status humans have had various kinds of natural rights or known as human rights. The difference from Hobbes's opinion lies in the rights submitted to the state. Locke argues that human rights that are handed over

---

<sup>15</sup> Phillip H. Jos, 'Social Contract Theory: Implications for Professional Ethics' (2006) 36 *The American Review of Public Administration* : Sage Journals.[1].

<sup>16</sup> Ozan Örmeci Makaleler, *Café Philosophy: Thomas Hobbes* (Auckland 2013).[12].

to the state must be limited so that people/organizations cannot act arbitrarily in receiving the rights of the people. The power of an unlimited person/organization is feared to actually eliminate the goal of forming a state.

Rousseau, in his book “Le Contract Social” clearly states that social contracts are the basis of theory from the state. Soedjono Dirjosisworo summarized Rousseau’s thinking by revealing:

“...each person risks himself and all his power and surrenders it to society and puts it under the authority of the highest leadership of public security ... the “*volonte generale*” collectivity is sovereign and only concerns the public interest; “*Volente de tous*” is the opposite of the statement of the willingness of each person...”<sup>17</sup>

As far as Rousseau’s thoughts are concerned, an understanding is obtained that social contract does not necessarily give power to the authorities because humans still held absolute power. It’s just that power is left to the authorities through the public will (*volonte generale*). This thinking leads to the form of democratic governance and people’s sovereignty.

If observed, Hobbes and Locke both view that the occurrence of social contracts begins with a human period called the natural period (natural state). The human condition in the natural state according to these two figures is different and also influences the situation after the contract. Dean Allen Steele highlights the difference between the two figures’ views about social contracts as follows:

“... Jean Hampton wrote in Hobbes and the Social Contract Tradition that the individuals’ rights are surrendered to the Sovereign. The only justifiable way to retrieve one’s rights after the contract is initiated is to form a new contract. Authorization theory, on the other hand, considers the contract as revocable. The individual, as opposed to the Sovereign, retains the authority to terminate the contract at any time. Hampton called it an agency theory, retaining Hobbes’ terms, where the rights of each subject are only loaned to the Sovereign”.<sup>18</sup>

Hobbes considered the state of nature full of human egoism to dominate so that

---

<sup>17</sup> Soedjono Dirjosisworo, *Filsafat Hukum Dalam Konsepsi Dan Analisa* (Penerbit Alumni 1984).[99].

<sup>18</sup> Dean Allen Steele, *A Comparison Of Hobbes And Locke On Natural Law And Social Contract* (The University Of Texas 1993).[2].



war and human fear arose. Locke actually has the view that humans in the natural state are in a state of happiness and calm and have good control of their rights. According to Hobbes, social contracts are held to avoid the fear of humans from all other human threats. While Locke considers the agreement to be held to protect the property rights of each human being. A view of the condition of the natural state that is different from the two figures gives rise to differences in circumstances after the agreement is formed. Hobbes believes that all human rights are surrendered to the state (called: ruler) so that people cannot have any rights unless given by the state. Meanwhile, Locke believes that not all human rights are left to the authorities, as a result if the authorities commit arbitration, the public can overthrow the power.

Based on the views of the three theorists, a conclusive understanding can be taken that the social contract theory seeks to explain the origin of the state and the state's authority to make arrangements in the field of public law. Matters included in the realm of public law are constitutional law, state administrative law and criminal law. Submission of rights by individuals/communities to the state in the realm of criminal law includes the right to establish norms in criminal law (*ius poenale*) and the right to convict (*ius puniendi*). The right of criminal imposition by the state is carried out to create order and prosperity of the people. With the holding of the right to set norms in criminal law and the right to impose criminal sanctions, the state positions itself as the only power organization that has the right to handle criminals. The handling of criminal acts is carried out through state organs, in this case law enforcement institutions (Police, Prosecutors' Office, Courts and Correctional Institutions) that are integrated into one Criminal Justice System. Similarly, each institution in the criminal justice system, each of which performs its duties (criminal rights) in a series of mutually integrated processes (Criminal Justice Process).

### **The Idea of Penal Mediation in the Paradigm of Solving Criminal Cases**

Penal mediation is also called "victim-offender reconciliation program" or "victim-offender meeting". Based on the history of its development, penal mediation was first known in Kitchner-Ontario, Canada in 1974. Then it developed into several

countries in the world. This method is carried out by bringing together actors and victims (directly or indirectly) to communicate and discuss problems in order to find the best solution.<sup>19</sup> Mediation, as an alternative solution to criminal offenses, generally known in the field of civil law (ADR or Alternative Dispute Resolution).<sup>20</sup> Penal mediation for the first time was known in positive legal terminology in Indonesia since the issuance Chief of Indonesian Republic Police (KAPOLRI) No. Pol: B/3022/XII/2009/SDEOPS dated December 14, 2009 concerning Handling of Cases through Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), although it was partial. In essence, the principles of mediation of the penalties referred to in this KAPOLRI letter emphasize that the settlement of criminal cases using ADR, must be agreed by the parties that litigate, but if there is no new agreement resolved in accordance with applicable legal procedures in a professional and proportional manner. This means that this KAPOLRI letter applies to both parties (both perpetrators and victims) if they agree to be mediated on the condition that the crime committed is a minor crime.<sup>21</sup>

Theoretically, criminal law does not recognize mediation as an alternative solution to a crime. However, the development of international and national criminal laws leads to the method of mediation as a settlement of a criminal offense.<sup>22</sup> In line with the opinion of Lilik Mulyadi, at the national level there is no law that regulates the existence of penal mediation in the settlement of criminal acts, except for some partial arrangements such as the Letter of the Chief of the Indonesian National Police (*POLRI*).<sup>23</sup> Topo Santoso et al. explains that there are several criminal acts

---

<sup>19</sup> Fatahilah M. Syukur, *Mediasi Perkara KDRT (Kekeharan Dalam Rumah Tangga): Teori Dan Praktek Di Pengadilan Indonesia* (Mandar Maju 2011).[64].

<sup>20</sup> Law No. 30 of 1999 concerning Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution.

<sup>21</sup> Anggita Anggraeni (n 6).[374].

<sup>22</sup> The paradigm of applying criminal sanctions leads to the method of penal mediation on an international scale found in several international legal instruments including the recommendations of the European Council No. R (99) 19 concerning "Mediation in Penal Matters" and document A/CONF.169/6 (supporting documents for the 9th 1999 UN Congress relating to criminal justice management; See Barda Nawawi Arief, *Mediasi Penal: Penyelesaian Perkara Di Luar Pengadilan* (Pustaka Magister 2008).[1].

<sup>23</sup> Lilik Mulyadi, *Implementasi Mediasi Penal Sebagai Perwujudan Nilai-Nilai Pancasila Guna Mendukung Supremasi Hukum Dalam Rangka Pembangunan Nasional* (Genta Publishing 2016).[115].

that can be mediated based on certain criteria as described as follows:<sup>24</sup>

1. Low criminal threats;
2. The level of losses incurred;
3. Crime committed due to negligence;
4. Crime which constitutes an offense of complaints both absolute and relative;
5. Criminal acts involving family members both perpetrators/victims;
6. Criminal acts where the perpetrators are minors;
7. Crimes whose elements of criminal offenses are unclear.

The existence of penal mediation is a new essence in the settlement of criminal cases. Conventionally, it was found that a series of criminal proceedings always ended in the conviction of both criminal sanctions and double track systems, which in fact the two estuaries are the authority of the state to carry it out. The existence of penal mediation as a settlement of criminal cases can be viewed philosophically in the dimensions of ontology, epistemology and axiology as shown in the following table:

**Table:** Philosophically In The Dimensions Of Ontology, Epistemology And Axiology

	<b>Ontology</b>	<b>Epistemology</b>	<b>Axiology</b>
<b>Conventional settlement (criminal sanction)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• focus: human dan the state</li> <li>• concretized in state law</li> <li>• tends to lead to “legal certainty”</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Passing through the criminal justice process</li> <li>• Performed by state apparatus (<i>Criminal Justice system</i>)</li> <li>• <i>Win-lost solution</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Creating public order</li> <li>• Creating community peace</li> </ul>
<b>Settlement by penal mediation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• focus: balance</li> <li>• concretized in peaceful behavior</li> <li>• Tends to lead to “justice”</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Through intensive communication methods (convensional)</li> <li>• Executed by certain parties</li> <li>• <i>Win-win solution</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Returning to the original state (restoration)</li> <li>• Satisfaction of the perpetrator-victims- community (community peace)</li> </ul>

**Source:** author’s work

In the table above, the settlement of criminal cases with mediation methods with conventional methods can clearly be compared. The essence of the idea of penal mediation arises from the spirit of balance (natural law). It is precisely the

<sup>24</sup> Agustinus Pohan, [et.,al.], *Hukum Pidana Dalam Perspektif* (Pustaka Larasan 2012).[320].

spirit of restoration that is embedded in several cultures of eastern society, so that if it is conceived as a new idea in resolving a criminal act, it must be accompanied by harmonious legal rules as a foundation. Given that the mediation method is a new idea, if it is entered in a “shaking” manner in the existing criminal law system, it is prone to create confusion or precisely put the value of certainty as one of the orientations of the continental European legal system.

### **Penal Mediation as a Shift in the Theory of Social Contract**

As explained in the previous section, penal mediation is used as an alternative in resolving certain criminal acts. The alternative term is used because a criminal offense generally ends with the imposition of criminal sanctions as stipulated in written legal rules. Therefore, criminal sanctions are not free from purpose. Theoretically, there are several objectives for imposing criminal sanctions including:

1) Retribution theory (*absolute/vergeldingtheorie*)

According to this theory the basis of punishment must be sought from the crime itself. Because crime has caused suffering to others, in return (*vergelding*), the perpetrator must be made suffering. This theory is also referred to as the theory of retaliation. Retaliation is seen as a strong emotional reaction, because it has an irrational nature.<sup>25</sup> In this theory Hegel implies that “law is a fact of independence. Therefore, crime is a challenge to law and rights. Punishment is seen in terms of rewards so that punishment is *dialectische vergelding* (dialectical retaliation)”<sup>26</sup>

2) Theory of intent or purpose (*relative/doeltheorie*)

Based on this theory the sentence was imposed to carry out the purpose or purpose of the sentence, namely to correct community dissatisfaction as a result of the crime. The purpose of punishment must be viewed ideally. Apart from that, the purpose of punishment is to prevent (prevent) crime.<sup>27</sup> The implication of this theory is that criminalization is not intended for retaliation but rather to

---

<sup>25</sup> J. E. Sahetapy, *Kriminologi* (Rajawali 1998).[11].

<sup>26</sup> Leden Marpaung, *Asas-Teori-Praktik Hukum Pidana* (Sinar Grafika 2008).[105].

<sup>27</sup> *ibid.*[106].

prevent the occurrence or recurrence of a crime.

3) Association theory (*verenigingstheorie*)

Association theory is a combination of *vergeldingstheorie* and *doeltheorie*. The combination of these two theories teaches that the imposition of punishment is to maintain the rule of law in society and improve the person of the criminal.<sup>28</sup>

The three objectives of punishment are manifested in the surrender of the right to the state to impose criminal sanctions (in social contracts). If examined more, the theory of social contracts is a theory that explains the origin of the formation of the state. The key instruments for the formation of this theory are, among others, natural human rights, morals and mutual agreement (common will). These three elements position some subjects who hold their respective positions in social contracts namely individuals, communities and authorities. It can be explained that social contracts are motivated by the desire of individuals to obtain security, certainty and order. Therefore, between an individual and a ruler (individual/organ or state) an agreement is made, in which individual rights are handed over to the state so that the state regulates the public interest.

Back to the nature of the existence of social contract theory as the basis for the formation and source of rights of a State, the usefulness of the theory of social contract is inseparable from the idea of a country. The idea of a country is oriented to the public interest (individuals and groups). The imposition of criminal sanctions on criminal offenders is one of the country's efforts to safeguard the public interest. If the purpose of imposing criminal sanctions is related to the purpose of the formation of the state, the most appropriate theory of the state's fall is association theory, given the existence of the state aims to create security and order. Therefore based on the purpose of punishment theory (association), the state imposes criminal sanctions solely aimed at making the perpetrators deterrent while providing protection to the public (social defense).

---

<sup>28</sup> *ibid.*[107].

The existence of the penal mediation in the settlement of criminal acts seems to be irrelevant with the purpose of criminal theory in the discussion above. The spirit contained in the mediation mechanism is restorative justice. Restorative justice requires the restoration of the original condition before the consequences of a criminal act occur; not only solely oriented to the entrapment of perpetrators and protection of society or a combination of both. Restorative justice is oriented towards returning to the original state, both for the perpetrators, victims and the general public who are affected by crime. Given the orientation of the penal mediation method is not merely in the form of retaliation to the perpetrators, the imposition of criminal sanctions becomes less appropriate to be applied to achieve the objectives of restorative justice. The imposition of criminal sanctions is only oriented towards the perpetrators and the public without regard to the interests of the victims or the circumstances. The existence of penal mediation can be identified as the desire of each individual (perpetrator and victim) and the community to obtain a way of solving problems with criminal aspects, so that a sense of satisfaction from a sense of justice is felt not only by the perpetrator or the community but also by the victim.

Based on the theory of social contracts, the state obtains the rights of individuals/communities to regulate common law-oriented matters. State rights in the field of public law especially criminal law, one of which is the right to impose criminal sanctions for perpetrators of criminal acts. Social views can explain that individuals in society always experience dynamics. The dynamics in this context is a shift in the orientation of the settlement of a crime that occurs. If previously the orientation of the settlement of criminal acts was for entanglement, it had now shifted to the protection of the community, then shifted to a combination of entanglement and protection of the people. The emergence and application of the method of penal mediation in the settlement of criminal acts of medical malpractice indicates a shift in orientation in resolving criminal acts towards mutual satisfaction (recovery of conditions).

In essence the purpose of punishment is part of the purpose of criminal law. In this case, the purpose of criminal law is in line with the state's idea of security, order and public welfare. It is understood that the purpose of criminal law is not merely the imposition of criminal sanctions. The imposition of criminal sanctions is only one of the instruments in realizing the objectives of criminal law that are identical to the objectives of the state. The instrument in question can be interpreted as a tool, so the nature of a tool can be replaced according to place, time and circumstances. In the method of penal mediation, criminal sanctions which are tools are replaced by other tools in the form of repair or recovery of circumstances. If examined theoretically, the application of the method of penal mediation in the settlement of criminal acts can be interpreted as the withdrawal of the right to impose criminal sanctions on the State, which is part of the rights granted by individuals/communities to the state to regulate public law. As a theoretical reasoning that the applicability of social contract theory in Indonesia, especially the right to impose criminal sanctions, begins to be purified again (withdrawn by individuals/communities), marked by the application of the method of penal mediation in the settlement of criminal acts against medical malpractice.

### **Conclusion**

Building on the essence of the theory of social contracts, some or all of the rights of the people related to the public interest include the realm of criminal law submitted by the public to the state. This means that the community gives to state rights in the field of public law (state administration and criminal). Concretization of state rights in the field of criminal law is to determine the rules of criminal law and enforce the law for every violator of criminal law. In Indonesia, criminal acts do not always end in criminal penalties. There is a method of penal mediation that is often used in resolving cases about criminal acts. Penal mediation is chosen because it gives more value to justice for the perpetrators and victims. Therefore, the theory of social contracts in Indonesia does not apply purely because not all domains of public law are given by individuals to the state. The imposition of

criminal sanctions that were previously the rights of the state, are now abolished (the term author: withdrawn) returned by individuals. The form of withdrawal or elimination of the right to impose criminal sanctions is indicated by the application of penal mediation in the settlement of criminal acts.

### **Bibliography**

Agustinus Pohan, [et., al.], *Hukum Pidana Dalam Perspektif* (Pustaka Larasan 2012).

Andi Zainal Abidin, *Asas-Asas Hukum Pidana Bagian Pertama* (Penerbit Alumni 1987).

Anggita Anggraeni, 'Penal Mediation As Alternative Dispute Resolution: Acriminal Law Reformin Indonesia' (2020) 1 Journal of Law and Legal Reform <<https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/jllr/article/view/35409>>.

Anita L. Allen, 'Social Contract Theory In American Case Law' (1999) 51 Florida Law Review <[https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/faculty\\_scholarship/788/](https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/faculty_scholarship/788/)>.

Barda Nawawi Arief, *Mediasi Penal: Penyelesaian Perkara Di Luar Pengadilan* (Pustaka Magister 2008).

C.S.T. Kansil and Christine Kansil, *Ilmu Negara: Umum Dan Indonesia* (Karya Grafis Digital 2004).

Dean Allen Steele, *A Comparison Of Hobbes And Locke On Natural Law And Social Contract* (The University Of Texas 1993).

Dwi Andayani Budisetyowati, *Hukum Otonomi Daerah Dalam Negara Kesatuan Republik Indonesia* (Roda Inti Media 2009).

Eddy O.S Hiariej, *Asas Legalitas & Penemuan Hukum Dalam Hukum Pidana* (Erlangga 2009).

—, *Prinsip-Prinsip Hukum Pidana* (Cahaya Atma Pustaka 2014).

Fatahilah M. Syukur, *Mediasi Perkara KDRT (Kekerasan Dalam Rumah Tangga): Teori Dan Praktek Di Pengadilan Indonesia* (Mandar Maju 2011).

Hari Sugiharto and Bagus Oktafian Abrianto, 'Perlindungan Hukum Non Yudisial Terhadap Perbuatan Hukum Publik' (2018) 33 Yuridika <<https://e-journal.unair.ac.id/YDK/article/view/7280>>.



Hidayatullah, 'Legal Protection for Justice Collaborators in Indonesia's Criminal Judiciary System' (2020) 25 *Yuridika* <<https://e-journal.unair.ac.id/YDK/article/view/16879>>.

I Dewa Gede Atmadja, *Ilmu Negara: Sejarah, Konsep Dan Kajian Kenegaraan* (Setara Press 2012).

Indah Cahyani and Tatiek Sri Djatmiati, 'Demarcation BUMN: Between Private Laws and Public Laws' (2000) 35 *Yuridika* <<https://e-journal.unair.ac.id/YDK/article/view/16853>>.

J. E. Sahetapy, *Kriminologi* (Rajawali 1998).

Jimly Asshiddiqie, *Pokok-Pokok Hukum Tata Negara Indonesia Pasca Reformasi* (PTBuana Ilmu Populer 2008).

Kanter and Sianturi, *Asas-Asas Hukum Pidana* (Alumni AHM-PTHM 1982).

Law No. 30 of 1999 concerning Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution

Leden Marpaung, *Asas-Teori-Praktik Hukum Pidana* (Sinar Grafika 2008).

Lilik Mulyadi, *Implementasi Mediasi Penal Sebagai Perwujudan Nilai-Nilai Pancasila Guna Mendukung Supremasi Hukum Dalam Rangka Pembangunan Nasional* (Genta Publishing 2016).

Ozan Örmeci Makaleler, *Café Philosophy: Thomas Hobbes* (Auckland 2013).

Phillip H. Jos, 'Social Contract Theory: Implications for Professional Ethics' (2006) 36 *The American Review of Public Administration* : Sage Journals.

Soedjono Dirjosisworo, *Filsafat Hukum Dalam Konsepsi Dan Analisa* (Penerbit Alumni 1984).

Theo Huijbers, *Filasafat Hukum Dalam Lintasan Sejarah* (Kanisius 1982).