

[AGB] Submission Acknowledgement

AgBioForum <editor@agbioforum.org>

Wed, Jun 08, 2022 at 11:50 AM

To: **Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti** <ayugemuh@gmail.com>

Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "*Business Analysis of Kereman Cow Meet Quality Following the COVID-19 Pandemic: Moderating Role of Farm Environment*" to AGBIOFORUM.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL:

<https://agbioforum.org/manuscript/index.php/agb/authorDashboard/submission/319>

Username: Ayugemuh

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

[AGBIOFORUM](#)

[AGB] Revision request

AgBioForum <editor@agbioforum.org>

Tue, Aug 02, 2022 at 9:17 AM

To: **Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti** <ayugemuh@gmail.com>

Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti:

The paper "*Business Analysis of Kereman Cow Meet Quality Following the COVID-19 Pandemic: Moderating Role of Farm Environment*" has been preliminarily reviewed.

Reviewers have given their comments on your paper. Please do the following when you resubmit your revised version:

1. All corrections as per the reviewers' comments and prepare a table / response letter showing corrections done. Your corrections will not be accepted in the absence of this response letter / table.
2. All authors' names, emails and affiliations checked and corrected.

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

AGBIOFORUM

Reviewer A

The article "Business Analysis of Kereman Cow Meet Quality Following the COVID-19 Pandemic: Moderating Role of Farm Environment" set the stage for the study on the impact of various factors on meat quality in Bali, Indonesia, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Here are some critical points and suggestions for improvement:

1. The introduction starts with a general discussion about the importance of meat quality and global trends in meat consumption. While this information is interesting, it could be more focused on the specific research topic. It takes a while to get to the main research question and objectives. Try to streamline the introduction to provide a clearer and more concise overview of your study's context and purpose. It would be beneficial to explicitly state your research questions or hypotheses early in the introduction. This helps readers understand what the study is trying to address.
2. When referring to previous studies, it's essential to provide specific references rather than generic mentions (e.g., "Alcalde et al. (2017)"). This adds credibility to your claims and allows readers to explore those studies if they are interested.
3. Your review includes several hypotheses (H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6). While it's good to include hypotheses, make sure they are explicitly tied to your research question and are clearly stated. It would be helpful to briefly mention how each hypothesis aligns with the reviewed studies.
4. Given that you mention the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic, it would be helpful to elaborate on how the pandemic specifically relates to meat quality, farm management, and

other factors in the context of Bali, Indonesia. What were the pandemic-related challenges, and how do they tie into your research? Make sure to connect the findings from these studies to your own research context. How do these findings relate to the specific factors you intend to investigate in Bali, Indonesia, post-COVID-19 pandemic? Highlight the relevance of each study to your research.

5. End the paper with a clear transition to the methodology section. Let readers know what to expect in the rest of the article.

Reviewer B

This research article has potential, but it would benefit from more focused and organized writing. Clearly state your research objectives and questions, emphasize your study's significance, and provide specific references to previous research to support your claims. Additionally, consider the following comments.

1. You mention that your study aims to fill gaps in the existing literature, but it's not entirely clear what these gaps are. Specifically, you should highlight why your research is novel and important. Clearly articulate what makes your study unique compared to previous research.
2. The literature review provides an overview of various studies that examine the relationship between farm management practices, feed quality, feed efficiency, and the farm environment with meat quality. Here are some critical points and suggestions for improvement.
3. The paper lacks a clear organization. It would be helpful to organize the review into sections or subheadings based on the different aspects you are discussing (e.g., farm management, feed quality, feed efficiency, farm environment). This would make it easier for readers to follow your arguments.
4. When presenting the path analysis and structural model assessment results (Table 3, Figure 4), briefly explain the significance of each relationship and its implications for your research. Why are these relationships important, and how do they support your hypotheses?
5. In the discussion section, consider adding some context to the significance of your findings. Discuss how your research contributes to the existing body of knowledge on this topic, and highlight the practical implications of your findings for farmers or policymakers.

[AGB] Revision Submission Acknowledgement

AgBioForum <editor@agbioforum.org>

Thu, Sep 08, 2022 at 10:30 AM

To: **Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti** <ayugemuh@gmail.com>

Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "*Business Analysis of Kereman Cow Meet Quality Following the COVID-19 Pandemic: Moderating Role of Farm Environment*" to AGBIOFORUM.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL:

<https://agbioforum.org/manuscript/index.php/agb/authorDashboard/submission/319>

Username: Ayugemuh

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

[AGBIOFORUM](#)

Response to Reviewer 1

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	<p>The article "Business Analysis of Kereman Cow Meet Quality Following the COVID-19 Pandemic: Moderating Role of Farm Environment" set the stage for the study on the impact of various factors on meat quality in Bali, Indonesia, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Here are some critical points and suggestions for improvement:</p>	<p>Many thanks respected reviewer for your kind remarks and suggestions.</p>
1	<p>The introduction starts with a general discussion about the importance of meat quality and global trends in meat consumption. While this information is interesting, it could be more focused on the specific research topic. It takes a while to get to the main research question and objectives. Try to streamline the introduction to provide a clearer and more concise overview of your study's context and purpose. It would be beneficial to explicitly state your research questions or hypotheses early in the introduction. This helps readers understand what the study is trying to address.</p>	<p>Many thanks for your kind suggestions. Done as suggested. Please see pages 2-6</p>
2	<p>When referring to previous studies, it's essential to provide specific references rather than generic mentions (e.g., "Alcalde et al. (2017)"). This adds credibility to your claims and allows readers to explore those studies if they are interested.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines. Done. Please see pages 7-11</p>

3	Your review includes several hypotheses (H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6). While it's good to include hypotheses, make sure they are explicitly tied to your research question and are clearly stated. It would be helpful to briefly mention how each hypothesis aligns with the reviewed studies.	Dear reviewer, Thank you for your kind suggestions. Done as suggested. Please see pages 6-14
4	Given that you mention the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic, it would be helpful to elaborate on how the pandemic specifically relates to meat quality, farm management, and other factors in the context of Bali, Indonesia. What were the pandemic-related challenges, and how do they tie into your research? Make sure to connect the findings from these studies to your own research context. How do these findings relate to the specific factors you intend to investigate in Bali, Indonesia, post-COVID-19 pandemic? Highlight the relevance of each study to your research.	Respected reviewer, Thank you. Done as suggested. Please see pages 16-17
5	End the paper with a clear transition to the methodology section. Let readers know what to expect in the rest of the article.	Thank you, dear reviewer. Done as suggested. Please see page no 13-15

Response to Reviewer 2

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	<p>This research article has potential, but it would benefit from more focused and organized writing. Clearly state your research objectives and questions, emphasize your study's significance, and provide specific references to previous research to support your claims. Additionally, consider the following comments.</p>	<p>Thank you, dear reviewer.</p>
1	<p>You mention that your study aims to fill gaps in the existing literature, but it's not entirely clear what these gaps are. Specifically, you should highlight why your research is novel and important. Clearly articulate what makes your study unique compared to previous research.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, Done as suggested. Please see page 4-5</p>
2	<p>The literature review provides an overview of various studies that examine the relationship between farm management practices, feed quality, feed efficiency, and the farm environment with meat quality. Here are some critical points and suggestions for improvement.</p>	<p>Many thanks. Done as suggested. Please see pages 7-13</p>
3	<p>The paper lacks a clear organization. It would be helpful to organize the review into sections or subheadings based on the different aspects you are discussing (e.g., farm management, feed quality, feed efficiency, farm environment). This would make it easier for readers to follow your arguments.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, Done as suggested.</p>

4	When presenting the path analysis and structural model assessment results (Table 3, Figure 4), briefly explain the significance of each relationship and its implications for your research. Why are these relationships important, and how do they support your hypotheses?	Dear reviewer, Thank you. Done as suggested. Please see pages 14-18
5	In the discussion section, consider adding some context to the significance of your findings. Discuss how your research contributes to the existing body of knowledge on this topic, and highlight the practical implications of your findings for farmers or policymakers.	Done, dear reviewer as suggested. Please see pages 18-20

[AGB] Revision request**AgBioForum** <editor@agbioforum.org>

Fri, Nov 04, 2022 at 11:19 AM

To: **Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti** <ayugemuh@gmail.com>

Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti:

The paper "*Business Analysis of Kereman Cow Meet Quality Following the COVID-19 Pandemic: Moderating Role of Farm Environment*" has been reviewed again.

Here are some more suggestions:

1. While you briefly mention data collection using survey questionnaires and smart-PLS for analysis, you might want to provide more information about your research methodology. How were the questionnaires designed, what were the sample size and demographics, and how did you conduct data analysis? This information will help readers understand the rigor of your study.
2. Consider reorganizing your introduction to have clear sections or subheadings that guide readers through the content. This makes it easier for readers to follow the logical flow of your arguments.
3. Ensure that the language is clear, concise, and free from grammatical errors. Some sentences are lengthy and complex, making them challenging to follow.

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

AGBIOFORUM

[AGB] Revision Submission Acknowledgement

AgBioForum <editor@agbioforum.org>

Wed, Dec 14, 2022 at 10:29 AM

To: **Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti** <ayugemuh@gmail.com>

Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "*Business Analysis of Kereman Cow Meet Quality Following the COVID-19 Pandemic: Moderating Role of Farm Environment*" to AGBIOFORUM.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL:

<https://agbioforum.org/manuscript/index.php/agb/authorDashboard/submission/319>

Username: Ayugemuh

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

[AGBIOFORUM](#)

Response to Reviewers

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	Here are some more suggestions:	Many thanks, Respected Reviewer.
1	While you briefly mention data collection using survey questionnaires and smart-PLS for analysis, you might want to provide more information about your research methodology. How were the questionnaires designed, what were the sample size and demographics, and how did you conduct data analysis? This information will help readers understand the rigor of your study.	Many thanks, done as suggested by your kind self. Please see page 11-14
2	Consider reorganizing your introduction to have clear sections or subheadings that guide readers through the content. This makes it easier for readers to follow the logical flow of your arguments.	Dear reviewer, done as suggested by your kind self. Please see page 2-5
3	Ensure that the language is clear, concise, and free from grammatical errors. Some sentences are lengthy and complex, making them challenging to follow.	Dear reviewer, Thank you for your kind suggestions. Done as suggested.

[AGB] Acceptance Acknowledgment**AgBioForum** <editor@agbioforum.org>

Tue, Jan 17, 2023 at 11:10 AM

To: **Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti** <ayugemuh@gmail.com>

Ni Made Ayu Gemuh Rasa Astiti:

Congratulations!

Your paper entitled, "*Business Analysis of Kereman Cow Meet Quality Following the COVID-19 Pandemic: Moderating Role of Farm Environment*" has been accepted for publication in **AGBIOFORUM** (Vol. 25 No. 1, 2023).

Thank you for your interest in our journal. Your Journal paper would be indexed in Scopus (Elsevier), Google Scholar, Scirus, GetCited, Scribd, so on. We look forward to receiving your subsequent research papers.

Editor in Chief

AGBIOFORUM